

# FOSSIL FUELS IN PROTECTED AREAS:

## Low-hanging fruit for additional mitigation



#### **Summary**

50 Gigatons of potential CO2 emissions are located under the world's protected areas, mostly in economically marginal oil, gas and coal fields. Pledging to keep these in the ground constitutes an accessible additional mitigation measure that adds millions of tons of avoided CO2 emissions to a country's NDC with significant biodiversity co-benefits.

#### Background

The Paris Agreement requires leaving over 80% of proven fossil fuel reserves untouched. The Global Stocktake has shown that additional mitigation is needed to achieve the Paris targets. In this context, keeping fossil carbon in the ground within protected areas represents a remarkable opportunity that not only mitigates CO2 emissions but also protects biodiversity.

Protected Areas serve as crucial safety mechanisms for critical biodiversity, support local communities and evoke national pride.

#### **LINGO Analysis on Fossil Fuels under Protected Areas**

LINGO analysis has identified 2337 oil and gas assets in 835 protected areas in 95 countried. Assuming their full extraction and burning, these projects would generate over 50.8 billion tonnes of CO2. Nearly half of them contain small quantities of oil and gas, making them of marginal commercial interest. This lack of commercial appeal makes them perfect candidates for non-extraction and thereby, massive climate and biodiversity gains.

### Countries with Fossil Fuels Under Protected Areas

Angolia Greece Palau

Albania Greenland Papua New Guinea
Argentina Guatemala Poland
Australia Croatia Paraguay
Austria Hungary Qatar
Azerbaijan Indonesia Romania

Bangladesh Iran Russian Federation
Bulgaria Iraq Saudi Arabia

**Bahrain** Israel Serbia **Belize** Seychelles Italy Slovakia Bolivia Jordan Brazil Slovenia Japan Botswana Kazakhstan South Africa Canada Kenya South Sudan Chile Suriname Kuwait China Lithuania Tanzania Cameroon Morocco Thailand

Congo, DRC Moldova Trinidad and Tobago Congo Madagascar Tunisia

Colombia Mexico Turkey
Cyprus Myanmar Turkmenistan
Czechia Malaysia Uganda
Dominican Republic New Caledonia Ukraine

Algeria Niger United Arab Emirates Ecuador Nigeria United Kingdoms

Egypt Netherlands United States of America Spain Nepal Uzbekistan Ethiopia New Zealand Venezuela France Oman Viet Nam

France Oman Viet Nam Gabon Pakistan Zambia Germany Peru Zimbabwe

Georgia Philippines



Sheikh Badin National Park in Pakistan.

The full list of affected protected areas is available here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>Unburnable Carbon in Protected Areas. LINGO & Oil Change</u> International, October 2023.

#### A quantifiable mitigation measure

Progressive countries are already restricting fossil fuel extraction in their protected areas, in line with World Conservation Congress Resolutions from 2000 and 2016 that suggest a legal prohibition of such activities. In many more countries, it may already be illegal to explore, drill, or mine within protected areas. Public opinion overwhelmingly supports the preservation of natural heritage over fossil fuel extraction. Implementation costs are low. While effective conservation may require donor support, the quantification of a non-extraction measure is easy.

The <u>LINGO database</u> contains avoidable CO2 emissions for each protected area identified. In countries where extraction from protected areas is already prohibited, it primarily requires reviewing the CO2 estimate and adding this measure to the next NDC.

Countries whose protected areas do not currently enjoy legal protection from extractive activities would need to work a bit more. The COP28 presidency has invited nature-rich countries to present national investment plans that align with the Paris Agreement and the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework. Countries with higher implementation costs for non-extraction commitments could seek support from donor countries for such measures that combine a high CO2 mitigation potential with biodiversity co-benefits.

Besides strengthening the respective protected areas, support could be requested for policies such as sustainable economic development (as alternative to the fossil fuel option) and solar rural electrification. Declaring protected areas as no-go zones for fossil fuel extraction can be a cost-effective, politically sound, and morally compelling path for increasing mitigation ambition.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> including <u>Mexico</u>, <u>Belize</u> and <u>Norway</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Al Jaber, Ahmed (2023). COP28: Letter to Parties. https://www.cop28.com/letter-to-parties